

EVOLUTION'S IMPACT ON ABORTION-THE SCIENCE OF DEATH

Romans 1:21 Because that, when they knew God, they glorified [him] not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened.

Romans 1:22 Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools,

Romans 1:23 And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfooted beasts, and creeping things.

Romans 1:24 Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves:

“If thou forbear to deliver them that are drawn unto death, and those that are ready to be slain; If thou sayest, Behold, we knew it not; doth not he that pondereth the heart consider it? and he that keepeth thy soul, doth not he know it? and shall not he render to every man according to his works?”

Proverbs 24:11-12 KJV

<http://bible.com/1/pro.24.11-12.kjv>

In weeks past we have looked at the Satanic Roots of Evolution. We have looked at the men that pushed the science of death . And now we will look at the Satanic Fruit of Evolution. Just what Darwinian evolution damnable teachings like survival of the fittest actually produced

The bible foretold of that in the end times they would be full of murder. Abortion is murder. But there would be no Roe vs Wade without darwinian evolution.

Richard Weikart has the following to say to those who are skeptical about the role that Darwinism has played in the creation of the modern culture of death:

“First, before the rise of Darwinism, there was no debate on these issues, as there was almost universal agreement in Europe that human life is sacred and that all innocent human lives should be protected. Second, the earliest

advocates of involuntary euthanasia, infanticide, and abortion in Germany were devoted to a Darwinian worldview. Indeed, Haeckel, the most famous Darwinist in Germany, promoted these ideas in some of his best-selling books, so these ideas reached a wide audience, especially among those receptive to Darwinism. Finally, Haeckel and other Darwinists and eugenicists grounded their views on death and killing on their naturalistic interpretation of Darwinism” (*From Hitler to Darwin*, p. 161).

DARWIN’S FAULTY SCIENCE PAVED THE WAY

- 1. Haeckel gave impetus to the abortion movement by teaching that the embryo is still in the evolutionary stage and not fully human. Even the newborn child, according to Haeckel, “not only possesses no consciousness and no reason, but is also dumb and only gradually develops the activity of the senses and of the mind” (Weikart, p. 147). Haeckel believed that the newborn infant has no soul and therefore “the destruction of abnormal new born infants cannot rationally be classed as murder” (Haeckel, *e Wonders of Life*, 1904, p. 21).**
- 2. For the mentally handicapped, he recommended “a small dose of morphine or cyanide” to “free this pitiable creature” from itself as well as from being a burden on its caretakers (ibid).**
- 3. “Not only did Haeckel justify infanticide, abortion, As for the association between Darwinism and this culture, Richard Weikart observes,**
- 4. “... even though not all Darwinists and eugenicists went along with Haeckel’s program of ‘rational’ extermination of the disabled, it is striking that the vast majority of those**
- 5. who did press for abortion, infanticide, and euthanasia in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries were fervent proponents of a naturalistic Darwinian worldview” (*From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 149).**
- 6. In 1990, the famous astronomer Carl Sagan and his wife, Ann Druyan, argued that abortion is ethical on the grounds that the fetus is not fully human until the sixth month. Taking Haeckel’s recapitulation “theory” as fact, they claimed that the embryo begins as “a kind of parasite” and changes into**

something like a fish with “gill arches” and then becomes “reptilian” and really “mammalian.” By the end of the second month, the fetus “is still not quite human” (“The Question of Abortion: A Search for the Answers,” *Parade*, April 22, 1990). Later, in his book *Billions and Billions*, Sagan denied that he was referring to Haeckel’s “theory” of recapitulation, but his statement was disingenuous. Though Sagan didn’t use *the term* “recapitulation,” he was defiantly using *the concept* of recapitulation, that the human embryo goes through “apparent” stages of evolutionary development in the womb.

7. Its not even legal to kill a dog how a baby is murdered in america today
8. Sink that into your mind. IF you took a needle full of poison and stuck it in a K9 police dog or got caught fighting with dogs like Michael Vick you would go to prison
9. IF you abort a baby and wear a white robe- you get a paycheck and you might even get congratulated by the president
10. Darwinian evolution is at the heart and soul of the legalization of abortion, euthanasia, homosexuality, pornography, and more.
11. this is not surprising when we consider the following Darwinian principles:
12. Proverbs 8:36 But he that sinneth against me wrongeth his own soul: all they that hate me love death.
13. • Man is an animal, the product of blind chance, with no higher purpose and no greater value.
14. • Man is accountable only to himself. Darwin wrote in his autobiography that if one does not believe in God or an afterlife, that his rule of life is “only to follow those impulses and instincts which are the strongest or which seem to him the best one.” -do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the law?
15. The heart is deceitful above all things and desperately wicked
16. • there is no God and no human soul. is destroys the value of religion. **THE BIBLE SAYS THEY ARE FOOLS -**
17. • there is no basis for moral absolutes. is Darwinian principle is the foundation for moral relativism, the predominant philosophy of the 21st century. **LOOK HOW FAR WE HAVE COM WITH RELATIVISM**
18. • Man has evolved through the survival of the fittest. Darwin wrote that man arose “from the war of nature, from famine

and death”; this argues against moral concepts such as the equality of man, justice, and compassion.

19. • **Man is a product of his inherited properties and his environment; he has no soul and no free-will. is is the foundation for modern psychology’s downplaying of personal responsibility. -EAT DRINK FOR TOMORROW WE DIE-**
20. **Luke 12:19 And I will say to my soul, Soul, thou hast much goods laid up for many years; take thine ease, eat, drink, [and] be merry.**
21. **DAYS OF LOT AND NOAH**
22. **Luke 12:20 But God said unto him, [Thou] fool, this night thy soul shall be required of thee:**
23. • **there is no life after death. is results in a focus on this present life and on the pursuit of physical health above all; it was summarized by a television commercial in the 1960s which said, “If you’ve got your health, you’ve got just about everything.” the no life after death philosophy encourages “eat, drink, and be merry, for tomorrow you die.”**
24. • **the embryo is not fully human until late in its development. is has been one of the underpinnings of the pro-abortion movement.**
25. **On pages 134-140 of his book, *Long War Against God*, Henry Morris includes quotations showing that evolution- ists teach that homosexuality is an advanced level of evolutionary progress, necessary for the perpetuation of the race, and that abortion is fully in accord with evolutionary theory and should properly include, not only fetuses, but infants as well.**
26. **Eugenicists sought to control the proliferation of the “inferior” through birth control, sterilization, abortion, sex education, restriction of marriage, and incarceration.**
27. **Then of course we have Margaret Sanger the Wicked Witch of Abortion herself pushing darwinian evolution**
28. **In her 1922 book, *Pivot of Civilization*, Sanger thoroughly condemned charitable action. ... Sanger’s book included an introduction by famous British novelist and eugenicist H.G. Wells, who said, ‘We want fewer and better children ... we cannot make the social life and the world-peace we are determined to make, with the ill-bred, ill-trained swarms of inferior citizens that you in ict upon us’” (Black, pp. 127, 129, 130).**

29. Sanger advocated mass sterilization and incarceration of the “unfit.” She wanted to control the population through birth control and sex education. She also advocated abortion, infanticide, and euthanasia.
30. Calling large families “immoral,” she said, “ the most merciful thing that the large family does to one of its infant members is to kill it” (Sanger, *Woman and the New Race*, chapter 5).
31. Psalms 127:3 Lo, children [are] an heritage of the LORD: [and] the fruit of the womb [is his] reward.
32. Psalms 127:4 As arrows [are] in the hand of a mighty man; so [are] children of the youth.
33. Psalms 127:5 Happy [is] the man that hath his quiver full of them: they shall not be ashamed, but they shall speak with the enemies in the gate.
34. She also complained, “Nature eliminates the weeds, but we turn them into parasites and allow them to reproduce” (Black, p. 133).
35. “It is interesting to note that there is no hesitation to interfere with the course of nature when we desire to eliminate or prevent a super uity of rodents, insects or other pests; but when it comes to the elimination of the immeasurably more dangerous human pest, we blindly adhere to the inconsistent dogmatic doctrine that man has a perfect right to control all nature with the exception of himself.” is position is perfectly consistent with Darwinism. If man is a mere evolved germ, it could not be wrong to refer to him as a pest or a weed.
36. abortion movement was also a product of Darwinian eugenics. “ the biggest impact of Darwinism on the abortion debate came through eugenics discourse, which, as we have seen, was founded on Darwinian principles.
37. Eugenics provided important impetus for those promoting the legalization of abortion. Most of the leading abortion advocates-- Stocker, Schreiber, Furth, Olberg, and others--were avid Darwinian materialists who saw abortion not only as an opportunity to improve conditions for women, but also as a means to improve the human race and contribute to evolutionary progress. Stocker and her League for the Protection of Mothers consistently used eugenics arguments to support the legalization of abortion, though ultimately they wanted to allow abortion for non- eugenics reasons as well.

Eduard David, in an essay on ‘Darwinism and Social Development,’ argued that eugenics was the proper social response to Darwinism, and he approved of abortion as one eugenics measure among others. Lily Braun likewise became a strong advocate of both eugenics and abortion” (Weikart, *From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 157).

- 38. In 2004, Margaret Sanger’s grandson Alexander Sanger, Chair of the International Planned Parenthood Council, published *Beyond Choice: Reproductive Freedom and the 21st Century*. He said “abortion is good,” arguing that abortion on demand is biologically justified because it aids the human race in its struggle to survive. “We cannot repeal the laws of natural selection. Nature does not let every life form survive. Humanity uniquely, and to its benefit, can exercise some dominion over this process and maximize the chances for human life to survive and grow. ... we must become proud that we have taken control of our reproduction. It has been a major factor in advancing human evolution and survival” (pp. 292, 302).**
- 39. Though Planned Parenthood today disavows its racist roots, the eugenics movement has been effective in culling the black population. According to a 2011 report by the New York City Department of Health, 59.8 percent of African- American pregnancies there in 2009 ended in abortion. It approaches genocidal levels of destruction.**
- 40. The Darwinian doctrine of recapitulation, that the embryo goes through successive stages of evolution, has been used repeatedly to justify abortion.**
- 41. Dr. Henry Morris wrote, “We can justifiably charge this evolutionary nonsense of recapitulation with responsibility for the slaughter of helpless, pre-natal children--or at least for giving it a pseudo-scientific rationale” (*The Long War against God*, 1989, p. 139).**
- 42. In 1982, Dr. James Neel used Haeckel’s doctrine of recapitulation to testify against a proposed U.S. Senate “Human Life” bill that would have declared that “the life of each human being begins at conception.” Neel was chairman of the Department of Genetics at the University of Michigan Medical School, a member of the National Academy of Sciences, and had just been selected as president-elect of the**

Sixth International Congress of Human Genetics. He used his impressive credentials to foist the fantasy of Darwinian recapitulation into the debate. He said: the early embryo appears to pass through some of the stages in the evolutionary history of our species. ... at about 30 days after conception, the developing embryo has a series of parallel ridges and grooves in its neck which are interpreted as corresponding to the gill slits and gill arches of sh. ... It has a caudal appendage which is quite simply labeled 'tail' in many textbooks of human embryology. ... [Because of these 'facts'] it is most difficult to state, as a scientist, just when in early fetal development human personhood begins, just as I would find it impossible to say exactly when in evolution we passed over the threshold that divides us from the other living creatures" (cited from John Day, *Darwin Day in America*, pp. 325, 326).

43. Sarah Weddington, the lawyer who argued for abortion in the landmark Supreme Court case *Roe v. Wade*, also testified against the "Human Life" bill, arguing that the fetus is a parasite. She said, "... the law presently allows no person ... the right to use the body of another in a parasitic way, as does the fetus" (*Darwin Day in America*, p. 332).

44. At the same hearing, Dr. Joseph Pratt, emeritus professor of surgery at the Mayo Medical School, also called the fetus "a parasite if you will."

45. The Sagans, too, described the fetus as a parasite. "... the fertilized egg ... destroys tissue in its path. It sucks blood from capillaries. It establishes itself as a kind of parasite on the walls of the uterus."

46. YOU SEE IT ONLY GETS WORSE

47. Darwinism is the foundation upon which modern bioethics is built, with its belief that the human fetus has no more value than an animal.

48. Princeton bioethicist Peter Singer said, "On any fair comparison of morally relevant characteristics ... the calf, the pig, and the much derided chicken come out well ahead of the fetus at any stage of pregnancy--while if we make the comparison with a fetus of less than three months, a sh, or even a prawn would show more signs of consciousness" (*Practical Ethics*, 1979, p. 118). Mary Anne Warren, philosophy professor at San Francisco State

University, said that even a fully developed fetus “is considerably less personlike than the average mature mammal, indeed the average sh. ... if the right to life of a fetus is to be based upon its resemblance to a person, then it cannot be said to have any more right to life than, let us say, a newborn guppy” (“On the Moral and Legal Status of Abortion,” *Biomedical Ethics*, 4th ed., 1996, p. 437).

- 49. It is Darwinism and its ridiculous theories that the fetus is not fully human that has given us the vile practice of using fetuses as medical guinea pigs. John Day describes this in *Darwin Day in America*, pages 335-338.**
- 50. At the Magee-Women’s Hospital in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, in the 1960s, live fetuses were packed in ice while still moving and trying to breathe, then rushed to a laboratory for testing.**
- 51. In the 1960s, Robert Goodlin of Stanford University submerged living fetuses in a saline solution and sliced open their chests in order to directly observe the beating heart.**
- 52. In the 1970s, American medical researchers took part in a study of fetal-brain metabolism in Helsinki, Finland. The fetuses were removed via C-section and after their hearts stopped beating their heads were cut off and attached to a pump that circulated a chemical mixture through the arteries. Dr. Peter Adam of Case Western Reserve University in Ohio, who helped lead the Finnish study involving decapitation argued, “People need to understand that the fetus doesn’t have the neurologic development for consciousness or pain.” He said, “Once society has declared the fetus dead and abrogated its rights, I don’t see an ethical problem.”**
- 53. Other researchers in Finland cut out the “fetus’s” brain, lung, liver and kidneys while the heart was still beating -- without anesthesia.**
- 54. In Hungary, university researchers cut out the beating hearts of fetuses up to 15 weeks for experimentation.**
- 55. Bioethicist Mary Anne Warren said:**
- 56. “While a fetus of five or six months may, perhaps, possess some flickering of sensation or some capacity to feel pain, this is equally true and probably even more true of creatures like fish or insects, which few would doubt the propriety of killing in order to save human lives” (“Can the Fetus Be an Organ Farm?” *Hastings Center Report*, Oct. 1978, pp. 23-24).**

57. Bioethicist Michael Lockwood said:

58. "I should have thought that, from any sane point of view, it was far preferable to experiment on a near-microscopic blob of unfeeling protoplasm than a feeling, caring being, albeit of a different species" ("the Warnock Report: A Philosophical Appraisal," in *Moral Dilemmas in Modern Medicine*, 1985, p. 168).

59. All of this wickedness is based on the Darwinian concept of man as an animal.

60. Peter Singer said:

61. "All we are doing is catching up with Darwin. He showed in the nineteenth century that we are simply animals. Humans had imagined we were a separate part of Creation, that there was some magical line between Us and them. Darwin's theory undermined the foundations of that entire Western way of thinking about the place of our species in the universe" (Johann Hari, "Peter Singer-- An Interview," *the Independent*, Jan. 7, 2004).

62. "We can no longer base our ethics on the idea that human beings are a special form of creation, made in the image of God, singled out from all other animals, and alone possessing an immortal soul. ... once the religious mumbo-jumbo surrounding the term 'human' has been stripped away ... we will not regard as sacrosanct the life of each and every member of our species, no matter how limited its capacity for intelligent or even conscious life may be" (Singer, "Sanctity of Life or Quality of Life?" *Pediatrics*, July 1983).

63. Agnes Bluhm, the leading woman in the German eugenics movement, advocated infanticide (Weikart, p. 155).

64. Lily Braun also advocated infanticide for less than perfect children such as those with Down syndrome.

65. New York physicist William Robinson wrote, "the best thing would be to gently chloroform these children [of the unfit] or to give them a dose of potassium cyanide" (*Eugenics, Marriage and Birth Control*, 1917).

66. Peter Singer said:

67. "If the fetus does not have the same claim to life as a person, it appears that the newborn baby does not either, and the life of a newborn baby is of less value than the life of a pig, a dog, or a chimpanzee" (*Practical Ethics*, pp. 122, 123).

68. Leslie Olson, director of organ procurement for the University of Miami, said that an anencephalic baby named Theresa “better fit the category of benign tumor, rather than human being; she was a ball of tissue” (Mike Clary, “Baby Theresa’s Case: Debate over Organ-Harvesting Laws,” *Los Angeles Times*, April 16, 1992, A5). Anencephalic babies are born with only their brain stems intact and usually are stillborn or survive only a few hours or days.
69. Nobel laureates Francis Crick and James Watson proposed that infants not be declared officially alive until three days after birth in order to allow the elimination of defective babies (*Darwin Day in America*, p. 340).
70. In 1915, infanticide became national news in America with the killing of a newborn by Dr. Harry Haiselden, chief of staff at the German-American Hospital in Chicago. Haiselden ordered the staff to deny all treatment to a baby born to Anna Bollinger. Catherine Walsh, who found the baby alone in a bare room, begged for the baby to be taken to its mother but was ignored. At an inquest, she testified, “It was a beautiful baby. I saw no deformities.” The inquest determined that “a prompt operation would have prolonged and perhaps saved the life of the child” and that there was “no evidence that the child would have become mentally or morally defective” (Black, *War Against the Weak*, p. 253). The inquest refused, though, to punish the doctor, and the local prosecutor blocked efforts to indict him for murder.
71. Haiselden considered his vindication “a powerful victory for eugenics.” He “proudly revealed that he had euthanized other such newborns.” Taking courage from the refusal of the law to punish his murderous actions,
72. “Because sentence against an evil work is not executed speedily, therefore the heart of the sons of men is fully set in them to do evil.
73. “within two weeks he had ordered his staff to withhold treatment from several more deformed or birth-defected infants. ... Other times he would handle it personally, like the time he left a newly delivered infant’s umbilical cord untied and let it bleed to death. Sometimes he took a more direct approach and simply injected newborns with opiates” (Black, pp. 253, 254).

74. Eugenicist leader Charles Davenport praised the doctor, saying, "Shortsighted they who would unduly restrict the operation of what is one of Nature's greatest racial blessings-- death" ("Was the Doctor Right," *e Independent*, Jan. 3, 1916).
75. Hollywood, which from its inception has been a great change agent in creating a society based on the new Darwinist morality, jumped on the "culture of death" bandwagon in 1917 with *e Black Stork*. is "unbridled cinematic propaganda was given a massive national distribution and promotion campaign. "Haiselden played himself in a ctionalized account of a eugenically mismatched couple who are counseled by Haiselden against having children because they are likely to be defective. Eventually the woman does give birth to a defective child, whom she then allows to die. e dead child levitates into the waiting arms of Jesus Christ" (*Black, War Against the Weak*, p. 257).
76. You see if it wasn't for Charles Darwin you would have no roe vs wade
77. this is the one of the damnable fruits of abortion
78. This is killing and murder and obsession with death
79. They are of their father and the lust of their father they will do
80. it breaks my heart to hear of these babies
81. But My friend if you reject God He warned us that we would break down just like this in Romans 1 it all starts with the denial of genesis

*****INFORMATION TAKEN FROM DAVID CLOUD'S BOOK SEEING THE NON EXISTENT -EVOLUTIONS MYTHS AND HOAXES**

*****INFORMATION TAKEN FROM THE EVOLUTION CRUNCHER**